Case 1:07-cv-10157-AKH Docu	iment 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	21 MC 100 (AKH)
THOMAS J HAAG AND MICHELE HAAG	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	
	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT
	RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLAINT
- against -	
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendant	S.
	Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, ints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NO	TICE OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein	aster Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual marked with an '✓' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s), eded, below.
	CHELE HAAG, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY P, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:
I. A.	PARTIES PLAINTIFF(S)

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

a citizen of New York residing at 502 Warren Avenue, Hawthorne, NY 10532-0000.

2.

Alternatively, \square _____ is the _____ of Decedent

, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of ______

☑ Plaintiff, THOMAS J HAAG (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an individual and

Ca	se 1:07-cv-10157-AKH Document	1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. York residing the Injured Pl	at 502 Warren Avenue, Hawthorne, NY laintiff: SPOUSE at all relevant times by THOMAS J HAAG, and brings	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New Y 10532-0000, and has the following relationship to herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff is this derivative action for her (his) loss due to the
		nd (his wife), Plaintiff THOMAS J HAAG. Other:
4. Edison of Nev	In the period from 9/12/2001 to 10/12/w York, Inc. as a Splicer at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Consolidated
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations
☐ The World	l Trade Center Site	□ The Barge
Location(s) (i	i.e., building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about;
Approximate	bout <u>9/12/2001</u> until <u>10/12/2001</u> ; ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximatel	ly <u>30</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
☐ The New	York City Medical Examiner's Office	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the
	bout until,	dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
☐ The Fresh	Kills Landfill	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for
Approximatel Approximatel	bout until; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
	his information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separa	per if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	Was exposed to and breathed nabove;	oxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	Was exposed to and absorbed of the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

mjured	1 Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9, ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☑ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC. ☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH ☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☑ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	
adjusted this claim.	✓ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.✓ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DIVERSITED CARTING, INC. ☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERFRISE, INC. ☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	✓ EAGLE CEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUITET
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE SCATT OLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	□ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☑ ROBERT L GEROSA, INC ☑ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☑ ROYAL GM INC. ☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

☐ OTHER:

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	Ç
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Filed 11/02/2007 Page 7 of 11 Case 1:07-cv-10157-AKH Document 1 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers: Contested, by al jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
	III CAUSE	S OF	ACTION
of lial	` '		d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff
		П	Other:

Case 1:07-cv-10157-AKH Document 1 Filed 11/02/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
\	Respiratory Injury: Sore Throat Date of onset: 3/28/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	▽	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/28/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: Throat Problems Date of onset: 3/28/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Other Injury: Eye and/or Ear Problems; Rashes/Itching, Sores, Lesions; Skin Rash Date of onset: 3/28/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

dama	ages:
==== √	Pain and suffering
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation
V	Other: ✓ Mental anguish ✓ Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York October 30, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Thomas J Haag and Michele Haag

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

October 30, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	THOMAS J HAAG (AND WIFE, MICHELE HAAG),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===:	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \[\textstyle \text{NOTICE OF ENTRY} \\ that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	□ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP